BRIEF COMMUNICATION

ON SOO'S EQUATIONS IN MULTIDOMAIN MULTIPHASE FLUID MECHANICS

HEE CHEON NO

Department of Nuclear Engineering, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A.

(Received 1 March 1981; in revised form 15 July 1981)

In a recent article, Sha & Soo (1978) present a set of equations for the general mixture conservation equations. The purpose of this note is to show that their model is not even valid in one-dimensional situations.

The general mixture conservation equation is presented by Sha & Soo as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_m\psi_m + \nabla\cdot(\mathbf{U}_m\rho_m\psi_m) = -\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_m + \rho_m\phi_m.$$
[1]

Components of phases k relate to the mixture according to

$$\rho_{m} = \sum_{k} \rho_{k}$$

$$\rho_{m} U_{m} = \sum_{k} \rho_{k} U_{k}$$

$$\rho_{m} \psi_{m} = \sum_{k} \rho_{k} \psi_{k}$$

$$J_{m} = \sum_{k} J_{mk}.$$
[2]

They thought that the basic conserved quantities, $\rho_m \psi_m$ are transferred with the velocity of the center-of-mass, U_m across the surface of a control volume. Of course the mixture mass flux is transferred with U_m across the surface of a control volume. But the mixture momentum and energy flux should be transferred with the velocity of the center-of-momentum, U_p and of the center-of-energy, U_e across the surface of a control volume, respectively. This concept is well illustrated by Lahey & Moody (1977). Here the velocity of the center-of-mass, U_m in the two phase system is equivalent to the velocity of propagation of the plane through which no net mass flux passes. By equating the mass flux terms

$$\rho_G \alpha (U_G - U_m) = \rho_L (1 - \alpha) (U_m - U_L)$$

which produces

$$U_m = \frac{\rho_L U_L(1-\alpha) + \rho_G U_G \alpha}{\rho_L(1-\alpha) + \rho_G \alpha} = \frac{G}{\bar{\rho}}$$
^[3]

where

$$\begin{split} G &= \rho_L U_L(1-\alpha) + \rho_G U_G \alpha \\ \bar{\rho} &= \rho_L(1-\alpha) + \rho_G \alpha. \end{split}$$

Similarly, by equating the momentum flux terms to define the plane through which zero net momentum flux passes,

$$\rho_G \alpha U_G (U_G - U_p) = \rho_L (1 - \alpha) U_L (U_p - U_L)$$

which produces

$$U_{p} = \frac{\rho_{G} \alpha U_{G}^{2} + \rho_{L} (1 - \alpha) U_{L}^{2}}{\rho_{G} \alpha U_{G} + \rho_{L} (1 - \alpha) U_{L}} = \frac{G}{\rho'},$$
[4]

where

$$\frac{1}{\rho'} = \frac{(1-x)^2}{\rho_L(1-\alpha)} + \frac{x^2}{\rho_G \alpha}$$

Finally, by defining the plane through which no net energy flux passes

$$\rho_G \alpha e_G (U_G - U_e) = \rho_L (1 - \alpha) e_L (U_e - U_L)$$

which yields

$$U_e = \frac{\rho_G \alpha e_G U_G + \rho_L (1 - \alpha) e_L U_L}{\rho_G \alpha e_G + \rho_L (1 - \alpha) e_L} = \frac{Ge}{\bar{e}\bar{\rho}},$$
[5]

where

 $e_{L} = e_{L} + x e_{LG}$

and

$$\bar{e} = \left[\rho_G \alpha e_G + \rho_L (1-\alpha) e_L\right] / \bar{\rho}.$$

Therefore U_m should be replaced by U_p for the momentum equations and by U_e for the energy equations. It is easy to prove this relationship

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_m\psi_m + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{U}_i\rho_m\psi_m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\rho_L(1-d)\psi_L + \rho_G\alpha\psi_G\right] + \nabla \cdot \left[\rho_L(1-\alpha)U_L\psi_L + \rho_G\alpha U_G\psi_G\right], \quad [6]$$

where $U_i = U_m$ for the continuity equations; $U_i = U_p$ for the momentum equations; $U_i = U_e$ for the energy equations.

Now let us consider the conditions in which Sha & Soo's model is valid. Sha & Soo's model considers that $U_m = U_p = U_e$. In order to satisfy the above conditions $\bar{\rho}$ is equal to ρ' from [3] and [4].

$$\frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho'} = 1 = \left[(1-\alpha)\rho_L + \alpha\rho_G \right] \left[\frac{(1-x)^2}{\rho_L(1-\alpha)} + \frac{x^2}{\rho_G \alpha} \right] = \left[\frac{(1-x)S + x}{U_L S(1-x) + U_G x} \right] \left[\frac{U_L (1-x)^2}{(1-\alpha)} + \frac{U_G x^2}{\alpha} \right].$$
[7]

If $\alpha = x$ and slip ratio, S = 1, [7] is satisfied. By $\alpha - x$ relation,

$$\alpha = \frac{x}{x + S\left(\frac{\rho_G}{\rho_L}\right)(1 - x)}$$
[8]

 ρ_G should be equal to ρ_L in order to satisfy the above conditions, $\alpha = x$ and S = 1. The above result comes out of their postulate that the conservation equations for the mixture of a

multiphase system assume the same form as those for a homogeneous medium. It is clear that a multiphase system is different from a homogeneous medium such as an air system.

REFERENCES

- CHAO, B. T. et al. 1978 On inertial coupling in dynamic equations of components in a mixture. Int. J. Multiphase Flow 4, 219-223.
- LAHEY, R. T. & MOODY, F. J. 1977 The thermal-hydraulics of a boiling water nuclear reactor. ANS.
- SHA, WILLIAM T. & SOO, S. L. 1978 Multidomain multiphase fluid mechanics. Int. J. Heat mass Transfer 21, 1581-1585.